

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/712,408

Applicant(s)

MCCLELLAN ET AL.

Examiner

Kathryn Odland

Art Unit

3743

All Participants:(1) Kathryn Odland.(2) Andrew Nilles.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 November 2003**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the response to restriction requirement dated October 27, 2003 applicant replied to the restriction but did not elect a species. It was agreed that Species I includes figures 1-3 and 5 as well as 6 and 9 and that claims 1-3,5,6,8,9 and 11 read on the species elected..



Henry W. Bennett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700